

## A foray into LEARNING THEATRES—manifesto (EAA<sup>1</sup>):

At the design-department at the Oslo National Academy where I work, we have embarked on different artistic research projects, in which we work from *context-in*: that is, *from* the context and *in* the context. The learning theatre has come about from giving attention of how we *set camp* in such contexts, and it is on assumption that this is relevant to research that I am present *here*.

It entails an approach where we work on what Yuri Lotman (2004/1992) has called the *semiotic border*; where the processes of developing *values of meaning* takes place while working directly on the *Umwelt* (Uexküll 2010/1934)—the environment, the field or situation. Is it possible to develop a notion of the *meme*, reflecting *how the body learns* in the wake of the digital revolution?

That is, a notion of the ‘meme’ which is *not reduced* to the vagrancy of /grumpy cat-faces/ on the *Internet*, but to the conjunction of *external* and *internal* border-crossing where the *contact/impact* with the world congenes with the realm of *affect* (Massumi 2015)—linked up with research as a process of *materialisation*—through acts of making where discovery/falsification is possible.

Discovery/falsification relates to the *presence* of an element (Badiou 1988). The presence of an element—beyond its materialised existence—is manifested when materials that *grow out of* fieldwork *aggregate* tendencies correlate with the multiplication of *singular instances*. Comparison is a *discovery procedure*, while the absence of singular instances *falsifies* the tendency.

The reason why it essential for science to claim presence in the development, study and debate on *memes* is that—in the larger society—are used and exploited by a variety of actors (e.g., right wing *sensologists*<sup>2</sup>). On this backdrop it may also be important for science not to lock itself in discourse (i.e., ‘scientific language’) but concentrate on science at the level of practise & practice.

This is, in my view, what makes possible an alliance between art and science—or, say, the alliance between art and architecture with archaeology and anthropology. Art, Archaeology, Architecture, Anthropology—the **4As** brought together by Tim Ingold in his book on *Making*. And also developed by him in a lecture he gave at KHiO, in November 2018 (later published in FIELD).

The purview of the *learning theatre* is to redouble research practices, such as they define in 4A, to examine (in this sense re-search) these in the context they themselves provide. This is an alternative to the ‘experimental isolate’: we take interest causal half-chains—‘self-interruptions’—so that bodies and agents *subtract* (rather than add) and thereby enfold & transduce information.

theodor.barth@khio.no  
27.08.2019

### **Bibliography—**

- Badiou, Alain. (1988). *L'être et l'événement*. L'ordre philosophique. Paris: Seuil.
- Barad, Karen. (2007). *Meeting the universe halfway— Quantum physics and the entanglement of matter and meaning*. Duke University Press.
- Dawkins, Richard. (2004). Extended phenotype—but not too extended. A reply to Laland, Turner and Jablonka. In *Biology and philosophy*, 19, pp. 377–396.
- Ingold, Tim (2013). *Making—anthropology, archaeology, art and architecture*. London: Routledge.
- Lotman, Yuri. (2004/1992). *Culture and explosion*. New York & Berlin. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Massumi, Brian. (2015). *Ontopower— War, powers and state of perception*. Durham & London. Duke university press.
- Simondon, Gilbert. (2005/1964). *L'individuation à la lumière des notions de forme et d'information*. Grenoble: Éditions Jérôme Millon.
- Vagn Lid, Tore. (2018). *Reflexive dramaturgy—Études for the (performing) arts in a time of change*. Oslo. Cappelen Akademisk.
- Uexküll, Jacob von. (2010/1934). *A foray into the worlds of animals and humans*, Minneapolis & London: University of Minnesota Press.

---

<sup>1</sup> Text delivered at the EAA annual meeting (EAA—Europea Archaeological Association) in Bern primo September 2019.

<sup>2</sup> By sensology I am thinking of the embodied materialisation of ideology.